

King, Andrew

Subject: FW: Form submission from: Planning application: P/16/101

Sent: 19 October 2016 01:09

To: Planning

Subject: Form submission from: Planning application: P/16/101

Submitted on Wednesday, 19 October, 2016 - 01:08

Full Name: Christopher and Sheila Thomas

E-Mail Address: *EMAIL PROVIDED*

Your Address: Auriga, 7 Porthcressa Rd, St Mary's, Isles of Scilly, TR21 0JL

Representation:

Dear Ms Walton,

As owners of 7 Porthcressa Rd, we wish to object to the proposed extension to neighbouring Roanoke.

General Comments:

The only conversation we have had with Mr & Mrs May regarding an extension was in July when Mrs May casually told me that they were thinking of building a small extension to make a playroom for the children on the ground floor and enlarge the kitchen on the first floor. We have never been shown any plans or been given the opportunity to discuss them with Mr & Mrs May although the plans had been drawn up and were submitted to the planning department early in September when we were still resident on the islands. One cannot but help feeling the deviousness of the timing of this application.

Our reasons for objecting are:

- 1) The proposed extension will completely block the sunlight in our garden sanctuary, putting our only outside area permanently in the shade, which will be detrimental to both ourselves and to our business.
- 2) The proposed first floor window on the west elevation will completely overlook our garden, as will the proposed outside stairway, thus taking away our privacy.
- 3) The current right of way is on OUR land. Full right of way was legally drawn up and granted to the previous owners of 9 Porthcressa Rd, Mr & Mrs Jeremy Phillips, with the Right of Way to owners only of both Trevesa and Shearwater. False information has been given on this application as neither the applicants, Mr & Mrs May, nor their agent, Mr Coupe, have spoken to us regarding extending the right of way especially as from the submitted plans it appears that this is onto OUR land, which we would most certainly not have agreed to.

As we solely maintain the right of way, under no circumstance would we agree to allowing builders and their associated materials and equipment access through our passageway, as we feel that this too, would be detrimental to our business.

- 4) Previous applications for "Juliet" balconies along the road have been refused - ref: Mr & Mrs Coldwell and are totally out of character with the rest of the street.

It is for these reasons that we object most strongly to this planning application.

Chris and Sheila Thomas