

Ellis,Abbi

Subject: FW: Planning Application P/L/039

Sent: 06 August 2017 19:23

To: Planning <planning@scilly.gov.uk>

Subject: Planning Application P/L/039

Kimberly Asten
36 Sparnock Grove
Truro
TR1 3AT

The Planning Officer
Planning Department
Council of the Isles of Scilly
Town Hall
The Parade
St Mary's
Isles of Scilly
TR21 0LW

06/08/17

Dear Mrs Watson

Planning Application P/17/039

Thank you for your letter regarding the amendment to the above Planning Application. I have looked at the revised plans and I am afraid that many of the concerns I currently have are the same as I previously had mentioned in my letter dated 23/05/17, regarding the previous plans.

As with previous submissions, these plans do not seem to have very comprehensive measurements. The revised plans appear to show that there is more garden area left undeveloped than will actually be the case, and I am still unsure that previous boundary issues have been resolved.

I appreciate that Mr & Mrs May have amended their plans, making their submission from previously being a three storey building to the current two storey application. However, I believe the previous plans had a flat roof, where the new amendments have a pitched roof, thus making the new plans no less intrusive on the loss of light my Grandmother would experience, living next door to Roanoake. Therefore the points I made in my previous letter still stand. My Grandmother would still experience a severe loss of sunlight to her garden and home, should these plans go ahead, and her property would still very much be overshadowed and feel oppressed by the build, especially given the fact that the current plans are still being proposed as being so close to my grandmothers property border. These intended plans will have a measurable impact on my Grandmother's quality of life and indeed this whole process is causing her a lot of stress.

Again, as I stated in my previous letter regarding this subject, my Grandmother's happiness and quality of life is my main concern, however, my other concerns still remain. Such a large extension to Roanoake doesn't seem to be in keeping with the rest of the Porthcressa area and I do still believe it would adversely effect the value of surrounding properties.

I also do still wonder whether access to the proposed building site has been taken into consideration, since the previously submitted plans. The building of the proposed addition would undoubtedly cause many months of disruption for all surrounding properties, many of which are lived in full time by local people, and would indeed need access to be granted from both of the neighbours. I can assure you that my Grandmother has no intention of granting that kind of access and I am not confident that the neighbours on the other side of Roanoake are inclined to cooperate either.

Thank you for taking my concerns under consideration

Yours sincerely

Kimberly Asten