

## Ciaran Dean

---

**From:** Natalie Williams [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 May 2020 11:31  
**To:** Planning (Isles of Scilly)  
**Subject:** Objection to planning application P/20/022

Dear Sirs,

Planning Application P/20/026

I object to the above application on the grounds below.

1. Safety of pedestrians
2. Impact on road users and residential parking

We, as residents of 7 Porthcressa flats, use the path daily to get to and from vehicles and safely to and from the beach. It is untrue that the path is 'seldom (if ever) used'. The path is the only safe passage for us as residents and more importantly our young children to get to and from the beach as both entrances to Porthcressa flats lead to moving vehicles and parked cars.

The canopy in the plans looks to be built over the path that we use daily. It will also block access for the house owners to be able to keep their hedges trimmed.

If the path is removed. We and our children will have to walk on the road, in front of the parking bays and across the petrol station forecourt to be able to access the beach or the path to town. This is dangerous now let alone when there is less parking and more traffic coming and going.

This area is heavily congested and traffic is built up frequently with people waiting for petrol, deliveries to the numerous local business and to residential properties. It is difficult to get parking currently without taking four parking spaces away from the area.

I feel that there are other areas available that pose less risk and problems to residents and businesses..

I also agree with Mr Sibley's questioning of the insufficient business case and questionable green credentials.

Is this scheme grant funding lead?

Is this service being set up to be used more by council staff rather than for the community?

I really hope you will consider these points. The paths provide safe passage for our children.

Natalie & Paul Williams

