

**Liv Rickman**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 23 June 2023 20:11  
**To:** Planning (Isles of Scilly)  
**Subject:** Subject: P/23/036COU -OBJECTION

**CAUTION:** This is an **EXTERNAL** email which was sent from outside of Cornwall Council's network. Do not click links, open attachments, or reply unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. Do not provide any login or password details if requested.

To the Planning Officer

I write objecting to the above application which is, in content, no different to P/22/056COU which was refused by our Council previously when considering the application against the planning laws and guidelines. I find it remarkable that this has been offered up again, in an attempt to sneak it under the fence, as there has been no attempt to address the concerns of the local residents and the planning laws and guideline documents.

My objections are based on the factual observations presented below;

1. Previous refusal raised was that the *'proposal was contrary to the requirements of Policy OE7(5) and WC5(1 c) and d) of the Isles of Scilly Local Plan 2015 - 2030 and Paragraph 197 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2021'*. This has not changed and Holgates Green is still a prominent area of public open recreation space within a conservation area and therefore **STILL** contrary to the requirements of the Isles of Scilly Local Plan. Nothing has changed so I can't see how this application can even be considered unless the council has rewritten the Local plan documents accordingly and not published it ? Simply put Holgates Green is NOT a commercial site.
2. The second reason that the application was refused was that *'the proposed change of use by virtue of the lack of formal vehicular access to the site would lead to daily hazardous arrangements that would have an unacceptable impact on the use of Holgates Green'*. Again, as per your reason for refusal last year, there is still a safety issue with crossing a pavement and vehicular movements could still introduce a conflict with pedestrians, cyclists and vehicles. The safety concerns have not been addressed nor has the biodiversity impact I raised last year.
3. The Councils Street trading Policy states all vehicles must be "self-contained" - an external generator inside a Land Rover does not fulfil this requirement by its own definition and cannot be acceptable.

Furthermore – I understand a street licence has been issued to the applicant (as it was last year) to trade for a **non granted** planning permission location. I would question the councils **legal standing** on this particular matter. This is like allowing someone to build a house whilst awaiting a fresh planning permission when it was refused previously, which simply does not happen anywhere and is illegal.

Lastly, I also question the Council overall intentions and challenge the Councils "disjointed and chaotic strategy" with regards the location of street vendors. Surely someone within the Council is able to develop a coordinated strategy for this which is to the benefit of the islanders, visitors and the trading vendors. Holgates Green is just the wrong location and strategy. Visitors to the Islands do not want a "fast food" outlet spoiling an area of natural beauty. There are plenty of alternative locations that the Council can and should consider but as an overall coordinated strategy.

I therefore lodge my strongest objection to this planning application and urge the Council to get to grips of their approach to this particularly issue.

Regards

Tim Reeve

**Pelorus  
Church Road  
St Mary's  
Isles of Scilly  
TR21 0NA**