

## Summary of Changes – P/22/076 Bryher Coastal Defence Works

The sections of the Environmental Impact Assessment document for the original application for planning permission P/22/076 where modifications are required to reflect the nature of the revised proposals on Bryher (P/23/095/FUL) are the following:

No.	Document heading	Title	Page	Change
1	Vol. I, 1.1	Background to the development	1	Of the 5 sites mentioned on Bryher, only Green Bay is still taking place
2	Vol. I, 1.2	Description of the sites	Pg. 4 ff	Of the 5 sites mentioned on Bryher, only Green Bay is still taking place
3	Vol. I, T2-1	Infrastructure protected	Pg. 24	The water treatment plant would not be protected
4	Vol. I, 2.2.4	Bryher SMP2 discussion	Pg. 32 ff	Of the 5 sites mentioned on Bryher, only Green Bay is still taking place
5	Vol. I, T2-3 to T2-5 & T2-7	Tables of historic sites within 500 m of each site	Pg. 45 ff	These tables no longer apply, since the Green Bay is the only site affected
6	Vol. I, T2-12 to T2-14 & T2-16	Tables of option selection	Pg. 54 ff	These tables no longer apply, since the Green Bay is the only site affected
7	Vol. I, 2.6.1 to 2.6.3 and 2.6.5	Description of the proposed works	Pg. 61 ff	These sections no longer apply, since the Green Bay site is the only one proposed for works
8	Vol. I, T2-21	Outline construction programme	Pg. 77	Only the Green Bay works in the table will take place. The Green Bay works are expected to take place January or February 2024.
9	Vol. I, 2.7.1 to 2.7.3 and 2.7.5	Construction methodologies	Pg. 78 ff	Construction will only take place at Green Bay. The Green Bay works are expected to take place January or February 2024. The original red line boundary for the access route from Church Quay to the Green Bay site has been altered in response to feedback received from Historic England such that no vehicle will traverse the Scheduled Monuments in Green Bay.
10	Vol. I, 4.2.3	Wave climate	Pg. 102 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
11	Vol. I, 4.2.4	Coastal morphology	Pg. 115 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
12	Vol. I, 4.2.5	Flooding	Pg. 126 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
13	Vol. I, T4-25	Sources of potential impacts	Pg. 135 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
14	Vol. I, 4.2	Potential impacts & significant effects	Pg. 136 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
15	Vol. I, 4.4	Mitigation measures	Pg. 150 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
16	Vol. I, 4.3	Residual effects	Pg. 152 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
17	Vol. I, 5.1.2	Field surveys	Pg. 163 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
18	Vol. I, 6.4	Baseline visual assessment	Pg. 223 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
19	Vol. I, 6.4.2	Visual baseline assessment – Viewpoints	Pg. 226 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance

20	Vol. I, 6.5	Assessment of landscape and visual impacts – impacts upon landscape receptors	Pg. 229 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
21	Vol. I, 7.3.3	[Historic baseline] Bryher	Pg. 240 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
22	Vol. I, 7.5.1	Assessment of Significance – Designated	Pg. 253 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
23	Vol. I, (7.5.3)	Impacts assessment	Pg. 257 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
24	Vol. I, 7.6	Mitigation measures	Pg. 268 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. Note that the access route discussion on 268-269 is modified because the route will no longer cross the scheduled area of these Scheduled Monuments, so no impact is expected on the Scheduled Monuments.
25	Vol. I, 8.4.2	Access and recreation	Pg . 278 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. The working period is now expected to be January and February 2024. The negative impacts for material delivery and construction are expected to be substantially reduced compared to the previously proposed timetable, because both types of work (material delivery and construction) will take place outside the summer season.
26	Vol. I, 8.4.3	Tourism	Pg. 283 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. The working period is now expected to be January and February 2024. The negative impacts for material delivery and construction are expected to be substantially reduced compared to the previously proposed timetable, because both types of work (material delivery and construction) will take place outside the summer season.
27	Vol. I, 8.5	Mitigation measures	Pg. 290 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. Note that modification to the programme of works to remove most elements previously suggested is in response to earlier community consultation. Community consultation will continue.
28	Vol. I, 8.6	Residual impacts	Pg. 291	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. Note the previous <b>temporary slight adverse</b> impacts on local businesses have been reduced to <b>no significant impacts</b> because the works will take place in winter and will not deter visitors, because none are present. The
29	Vol. I, T9-29	Plant usage estimates	Pg. 319 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
30	Vol. I, T10-5	Worst -case number of construction vehicle journeys	Pg. 333 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance
31	Vol. I, 10.4.2 T10-4	Construction logistics	Pg. 334 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. The working period is now expected to be January and February 2024, which modifies Table 10-4.

32	Vol. I, 10.5.1	Construction traffic	Pg. 342 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
33	Vol. I, 10.5.2	Air quality	Pg. 346 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
34	Vol. I, 10.5.3	Noise & vibration	Pg. 352 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
35	Vol. I, 10.7	Mitigation measures	Pg. 355 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
36	Vol. I, 10.8	Residual effects	Pg. 358 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
37	Vol. I, T11-3	Interrelationship impacts	Pg. 363&4	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
38	Vol. I, T12-1	Summary of like effects	Pg. 366	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. Note that avoidance measures identified under the impact of vehicle access route on Scheduled Monument <b>are</b> being taken.
39	Vol. II – Appendix 2.1	Design Drawings	Plans	Only sites labelled ‘Bryher-9 North Green Bay’ will be constructed
40	Bryher – Green Bay HRA v4.0	HRAs		References to other works on Bryher in the Green Bay HRA are no longer relevant. The schedule of works identified in the HRA is revised to include material delivery and works in January and February 2024. No parallel working will occur with other sites on Bryher, since no other sites are being constructed. Therefore no ‘in-combination’ effects (6.4) are expected.
41	Vol. II Appendix 6	Landscape		Only the Green Bay and Church Quay landing elements of the assessment for Bryher are of relevance. The Bryher red line boundary map referenced in this document is replaced with the version submitted with the revised application.
42	Vol. II Appendix 7	Historic	Appendix 7.1	The Bryher red line boundary referenced in this document (eg, figures 1 to 4) is replaced with the version submitted with the revised application.
43	Vol. II Appendix 7	Historic	Appendix 7.3	Site visit photographs on Bryher are no longer relevant, except those (photos 4, 5, 11) that relate to Green Bay and the Church Quay area.
44	Vol. II Appendices	Outline CEMP		Only the Green Bay elements of the CEMP are of relevance on Bryher.
45	ES Addendum Vol. I, 2.1	Description of proposed development changes	Pg. 2 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
46	ES Addendum Vol. I, T2-1	Scoping of environmental assessments	Pg. 4 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
47	ES Addendum Vol. I, 3.2	Changes to the submitted ES	Pg. 7 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. See particularly change 3.
48	ES Addendum Vol. I, T3-1	Summary of design changes	Pg. 8 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
49	ES Addendum Vol. I, T3-2	Summary of consultee feedback	Pg. 11 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.

50	ES Addendum Vol. I, 4.5	Updated baseline conditions	Pg. 23 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
51	ES Addendum Vol. I, 4.7	Potential impacts and significant effects	Pg. 44 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
52	ES Addendum Vol. I, 4.10	Summary of updated residual effects	Pg. 53 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
53	ES Addendum Vol. I, 5.7	Potential impacts and significant effects	Pg. 59	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
54	ES Addendum Vol. I, 7.3	Proposed development changes	Pg. 70	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
55	ES Addendum Vol. I, 7.7.3	Construction Impacts	Pg. 71 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
56	ES Addendum Vol. I, 7.9	Additional mitigation measures	Pg. 73	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
57	ES Addendum Vol. I, 7.10	Summary of updated residual effects	Pg. 73 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
58	ES Addendum Vol. I, 10.3	Proposed development changes	Pg. 81	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
59	ES Addendum Vol. II, A1.1	Summary of consultee comments	Pg. 8 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance. Note, some of the appendix in Vol. II repeats the HRA noted earlier.
60	ES Addendum Vol. II	Water Framework Directive Assessment, Bryher, 3.2 Proposed works	Pg. 8 ff	Only the Green Bay elements of the assessment for Bryher is of relevance.
61	ES Addendum Vol. II	Ecological enhancements		Ecological enhancements at Great Popplestone will not take place on Bryher, since work at that site is now out of scope