RECEIVED
By Liv Rickman at 10:21 am, Aug 22, 2024

Liv Rickman

From: Claire Foxford [redacted]
Sent: 29 July 2024 1
To: Paul Marino
Cc: [redacted]

Subject: RE: P/24/028/FUL Carn Thomas, St Mary's - BNG and TREES
Attachments: Landscape strategy tree survey overlay 2225-20.pdf

Hi Paul

I have spoken to Lucy, who is on site at the moment.

In summary …

The ecologist have fully considered the trees within their BNG assessment.
Some of the existing "trees" on site are best classified under the UK Habitat Classifications as Dense Scrub /Mixed Scrub Habitat i.e part of a dense mosaic including some trees . They are included within the Metric as such. Therefore within the BNG the loss of these trees have been fully accounted for through the loss of the "Mixed Scrub"/"Dense scrub category habitat (*Without looking at the metric Lucy couldn't recall exactly the name of the habitat category referred to in the Calculation*).
The "trees" indicated within the BNG are those that are best classified as such within the UK Habitat Classification.

Hence there is a difference between the number of trees shown in the tree survey and those showing in the BNG Metric . This is a due to the way the different professional bodies categorise these elements.

I attach an overlay of the tree survey onto the landscape strategy. I also observe that of the 74 trees that I mentioned not all of these tree are on site. None of the off-site trees are being removed .

(Lucy, I trust I have correctly explained the matter)

Kind regards
Claire

Claire Foxford CMLI, MA, BSc
[redacted]
d are intended solely for use by the addressee only. Please notify us immediately if the message is received in error and destroy the file and contents.
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

[redacted]